Case 1:08-cv-01446-AKH Docum	nent 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 1 of 11		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	DOCKET NO.		
ROBERT MILLER AND JEANNE MILLER			
Plaintiffs, - against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY		
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defendants.			
	ellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, ts for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.		
NOT	ICE OF ADOPTION		
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an 'V" if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.			
Plaintiffs, ROBERT MILLER AND JEA	NNE MILLER, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY		

Plaintiffs, ROBERT MILLER AND JEANNE MILLER, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

		110 1 20120 (1111 (8)		
1.	✓ Plaintiff, ROBERT 1	MILLER (hereinafter the '	"Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual a	nd
a citizen o	of New Jersey residing at 4 Wi	nant Rd., Kendall Park, N	IJ 08824	
		(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this clain	n in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	

Case 1:08-cv-01446-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 2 of 11			
Jersey residing at 4 Winant Rd., Kendall Park, NJ 08 Injured Plaintiff:	-			
ROBERT MILLER, and bring injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff gs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff ROBERT MILLER. Other:			
In the period from 11/30/2001 to 3/30. Detective at:	/2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Volunteer as a			
Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations			
☐ The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.) From on or about until;	The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.			
Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	======================================			
☐ The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:			
✓ The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about 11/30/2001 until To Be Supplied; Approximately 10 hours per day; for Approximately 10 days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:			
	aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.			
5. Injured Plaintiff				
Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated			
✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;				
Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates a the site(s) indicated above;				
✓ Other: Not yet determined.				

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
=======================================	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FOR TEACAVATING CORT
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DIVERSITED CARTING, INC. ☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	□ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☑EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

OTHER: _

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	-
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-01446-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has					
remov	removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSES OF ACTION				
of lial law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		
		П	Other:		

Case 1:08-cv-01446-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Sinus Problems		V	Fear of Cancer
	Date of onset: <u>8/14/2006</u>			Date of onset: <u>8/14/2006</u>
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.		✓	Other Injury: Sleeping Problems
	Date of onset:			Date of onset: 8/14/2006
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	ıst	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
	-		•	ies identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	W	ill in th	ne future suffer the following compensable
dama	ges:			
==== V	Pain and suffering	=	=====	=======================================
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			

Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

retirement benefits

✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

rehabilitation

Other:

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $\label{eq:power_power} Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of \ fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$

Dated: New York, New York February 1, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Robert Miller and Jeanne Miller

Bv:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York February 1, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	Robert Miller (and Wife, Jeanne Miller),	
	Plaintiff(s)	
	- against -	
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	
	Defendant(s).	
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT	
=====	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700	
	To Attorney(s) for	
	Service of a copy of the within	
	is hereby admitted. Dated,	
	Attorney(s) for	
====== PL	EASE TAKE NOTICE:	
_	that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on	
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BEI	RN, LLP